

2879

MOTION NO. _____

1
2 A MOTION concurring with the recommendation
3 of the Deputy Zoning and Subdivision Examiner
4 on the application for reclassification
5 petitioned by L. R. COLLETT, et al, designated
6 Building and Land Development File No. 165-76-R
7 and modifying a condition thereto.

8 WHEREAS, the Deputy Zoning and Subdivision Examiner by
9 report dated November 30, 1976 has recommended that the applica-
10 tion for reclassification petitioned by L. R. COLLETT, et al,
11 designated Building and Land Development File No. 165-76-R be
12 approved for RM 2400-P and RD 3600-P, subject to conditions, in
13 lieu of RM 2400 as proposed by the applicant in his amended
14 petition; and

15 WHEREAS, the recommended reclassification and certain
16 conditions thereto have been appealed by the applicant; and

17 WHEREAS, the Deputy Examiner at the appeal meeting advised
18 that his recommendation was based on density calculations which
19 he later found to be erroneous and that he wished to modify his
20 recommendation based on corrected density information; and

21 WHEREAS, the Council has concluded that the appropriate
22 development of the property is best reflected by the RM 2400
23 classification with specific limitations on the proposed
24 development plan (Exhibit No. 3) which will limit the total
25 number of units and provide a greater open space allocation
26 in the eastern portion of the development,

27 NOW THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:
28 The subject reclassification petitioned by L. R. COLLETT, et al,
29 designated Building and Land Development File No. 165-76-R is
30 approved for RM 2400-P as recommended by the Deputy Zoning and
31 Subdivision Examiner subject to the following conditions and
32 modifications to the submitted site plan (Exhibit No. 3):

- 33
1. Adjacent streets to be improved with curbs, gutters and sidewalks.
 2. Landscaping and screening of the south and east boundaries of the total site to be emphasized in the plan. The east boundary to be fenced.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

- 3. The maximum number of units in the total development to be 40, with no more than 16 units within or partly within the easterly 120 feet of the site.
- 4. Future access to the north from Parcel 2 to be eliminated.
- 5. Parking and access plans to be substantially as submitted in Exhibit No. 3, with no parking in the easterly 120 feet of the site.

PASSED at a regular meeting of the King County Council this 14th day of February, 19 77.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Mike Lowery
Chairman

ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Quinn
Deputy Clerk of the Council